

1 MELINDA HAAG (CABN 132612)  
United States Attorney  
2 J. DOUGLAS WILSON (DCBN 412811)  
Chief, Criminal Division  
3 JANAKI GANDHI (CABN 272246)  
4 Special Assistant United States Attorney

450 Golden Gate Ave., Box 36055  
San Francisco, California 94102  
Telephone: (415) 436-7200  
Fax: (415) 436-7234  
E-Mail: janaki.gandhi@usdoj.gov

8 | Attorneys for United States of America

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

The parties in this case appeared before the Court on March 18, 2014. At that time, the defense counsel represented to the Court that additional time would be required to review the discovery provided by the government, conduct investigations, and consider a possible resolution to the case. As a result, the Court set the matter to April 15, 2014.

The parties have agreed to exclude the period of time between March 18, 2014, and April 15, 2014, from any time limits applicable under 18 U.S.C. § 3161. The parties agreed that granting the exclusion would allow the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation of counsel. *See* 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv). The parties also agreed that the ends of justice served by granting such an exclusion of time outweigh the best interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. 18 U.S.C.

1       § 3161(h)(7)(A). At the hearing, the Court made findings consistent with this agreement.

2

3           SO STIPULATED:

4

MELINDA HAAG  
United States Attorney

5

6       DATED: March 18, 2014

7

/s/

JANAKI GANDHI  
Special Assistant United States Attorney

8

9       DATED: March 18, 2014

10

/s/

CANDIS MITCHELL  
Attorney for Defendant Jesus Rene Garcia Sandoval

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1 [PROPOSED] ORDER  
2

3 For the reasons stated above and at the March 18, 2014, hearing, the Court finds that the  
4 exclusion from the time limits applicable under 18 U.S.C. § 3161 of the period from March 18, 2014, to  
5 April 15, 2014, is warranted and that the ends of justice served by the continuance outweigh the best  
6 interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A). Denying the  
7 requested exclusion of time would deprive the parties of the reasonable time necessary for effective  
preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).

8  
9 IT IS SO ORDERED.

10  
11 DATED: 3/21/14

  
12 HONORABLE RICHARD SEEBORG  
United States District Judge